

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/529,065	10/24/2005	Alexander Glazunov	14219-085US1 P2002,0812 U	2563
26161 7590 01/24/2008 FISH & RICHARDSON PC P.O. BOX 1022			EXAMINER	
			SAN MARTIN, JAYDI A	
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55440-1022		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		2834	
•			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/24/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary	10/529,065	GLAZUNOV, ALEXANDER			
,	Examiner	Art Unit			
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	Jaydi A. San Martin	th the correspondence address			
Period for Reply		a correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the ma earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re- tiod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT tute. cause the application to become AB	CATION. The ply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133)			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24	1 October 2005.				
•					
3) Since this application is in condition for allow					
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>10-30</u> is/are pending in the applica 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	·				
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>10-30</u> are subject to restriction and	l/or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami	iner.				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ a		y the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		` ,			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr		-			
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei		119(a)-(d) or (f).			
1. Certified copies of the priority docume					
2. Certified copies of the priority docume	-	·			
 Copies of the certified copies of the preparation of the preparation of the international Bure 		received in this National Stage			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a li		eceived			
	of the contined copies not i	eceived.			
Attachment(s)					
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 	4) ∐ Interview Su Paper No(s)	ımmary (PTO-413) /Mail Date			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔛 Notice of Inf	formal Patent Application			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)	<u> -</u> ·			

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 10-16, drawn to piezoelectric transformers, classified in class 310, subclass 363 and 359.
 - II. Claims 17-26, drawn to method of making piezoelectric transformers, classified in class 29, subclass 25.35.
 - III. Claims 27-30, drawn to specific piezoelectric ceramic compositions, classified in class 310/358 and/or 501/134.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make another and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the device can be made by another and materially different process such as sputtering or CVD.
- 3. Inventions I and III are directed to related product inventions. The related inventions are distinct if the (1) the inventions as claimed are either not capable of use together or can have a materially different design, mode of operation, function, or effect; (2) the inventions do not overlap in scope, i.e., are mutually exclusive; and (3) the inventions as claimed are not obvious variants. See MPEP § 806.05(j). In the instant case, the invention as claimed in group I does not require the specific piezoelectric ceramic composition. Furthermore, the inventions as claimed

Art Unit: 2834

do not encompass overlapping subject matter and there is nothing of record to show them to be obvious variants.

- 4. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 5. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

10/529,065

Art Unit: 2834

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jaydi A. San Martin whose telephone number is 571-272-2018. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 9-7.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Darren E. Schuberg can be reached on 571-272-2044. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Jaydi SanMartin Primary Examiner Art Unit 2834

1/21/08